

16th IFAC World Congress
Final Report

September 5, 2005

Author: Petr Horacek

Content:

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
| 2 | Contributing Authors..... | 4 |
| 2.1 | Number of authors per country | 4 |
| 2.2 | Number of contributing authors per region..... | 6 |
| 3 | Industry Contribution | 7 |
| 4 | Plenary and semi-Plenary sessions..... | 8 |
| 5 | Milestone Sessions | 11 |
| 5.1 | Trends in Systems and Signals..... | 11 |
| 5.2 | Theory, Algorithms and Technology in the Design of Control Systems..... | 12 |
| 5.3 | Information and Communication Technology in Control..... | 12 |
| 5.4 | Mechatronics, Robotics and Components for Automation and Control | 12 |
| 5.5 | From Plant and Logistics Control to Multi-Enterprise Collaboration..... | 13 |
| 5.6 | Monitoring and Control of Process and Power Systems: Towards new Paradigms | 13 |
| 5.7 | The Impact of Automatic Control on Recent Developments in Transportation and Vehicle Systems | 13 |
| 5.8 | Dealing with Bio- and Ecological Complexity: Challenges and Opportunities..... | 13 |
| 5.9 | Control System Approaches for Sustainable Development and Instability Management in the Globalization Age..... | 14 |
| 6 | Survey Papers..... | 14 |
| 7 | Panel Sessions | 14 |
| 8 | Tutorials and Workshops | 15 |
| 8.1 | Tutorials | 16 |
| 8.2 | Workshops..... | 16 |
| 9 | Sessions | 18 |
| 10 | Technical Areas..... | 19 |
| 11 | No Show Papers | 21 |
| 12 | Reviewers | 21 |
| 13 | Appendix – Complete list of companies contributing to the Congress program | 24 |
| 14 | Appendix –Program Committee and sub-Committees for Praha 2005..... | 29 |

1 Introduction

It was a great pleasure to welcome the participants of the **16th IFAC World Congress held in Prague, Czech Republic, from July 4 to July 8, 2005**. The congresses of IFAC are the most important meetings of the Automatic Control community; they are held triennially and attended by more than 2,000 professionals. The Congress was a great opportunity for presenting new results and directions of Automatic Control theory, technology and applications. As such, it mainly concentrated on the following key points:

- emphasis on invited lectures including plenaries, surveys and tutorials,
- industry participation promotion,
- attracting young people to study and work in the field.

The participants of the 16th IFAC World Congress had the opportunity to take part in the wide spectrum of categories for technical presentations, including plenary lectures, survey papers, regular papers of both lecture and poster session types and panel discussions. Immediately preceding the formal opening of the Congress, tutorials and workshops were offered giving participants an opportunity to learn new principles, methodologies, technologies and applications that have been developed in recent years.

The Congress was a great success in terms of number of submitted contributions and participants. An introduction to Congress statistics provides the following table.

| | |
|---|------|
| Papers submitted | 3284 |
| Papers accepted for the final program ^{*)} | 2456 |
| Countries contributing to the program | 73 |
| Overall attendance | 2462 |
| Attendance from academia | 2099 |
| Attendance from industry | 363 |
| Countries represented by the registration | 63 |

^{*)} The figure includes plenary and semi-plenary papers, reports for panel discussions including so called Milestones, oral presentations and posters combined.

The absolute numbers should be compared to the historical records of preceding IFAC Congresses, Barcelona 2002 and Beijing 1999, to understand how is the field of Automatic Control and related branches evolving. Number of papers presented in Prague Congress was by 679 higher than in Barcelona and by 900 higher than in Beijing six years ago, while the acceptance rate was approximately the same. The Prague's attendance was by 451 higher than in Barcelona and by 996 higher than in Beijing.

2 Contributing Authors

Overall figures regarding authors and co-authors of papers scheduled for the final program gives the table below.

| | |
|---|------|
| Total number of authors of accepted papers | 5162 |
| Average number of authors per an accepted paper | 2 |
| Authors of accepted papers from academia | 4805 |
| Authors of accepted papers from industry | 357 |
| Number of countries contributing to the technical program | 73 |

2.1 Number of authors per country

The following two tables show number of authors contributing to the Congress technical program per country. The first table, table on the left, lists countries in alphabetic order, the second table orders countries according to number of authors in descending order.

| Country | Number of contributing authors |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Algeria | 9 |
| Argentina | 20 |
| Armenia | 3 |
| Australia | 89 |
| Austria | 41 |
| Bangladesh | 1 |
| Belarus | 10 |
| Belgium | 66 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 3 |
| Brazil | 120 |
| Bulgaria | 12 |
| Canada | 98 |
| Colombia | 1 |
| Croatia | 4 |
| Cuba | 6 |
| Cyprus | 2 |
| Czech Republic | 140 |
| Denmark | 35 |
| Egypt | 2 |
| Estonia | 9 |
| Finland | 89 |
| France | 521 |
| Germany | 310 |
| Greece | 58 |
| Hong Kong | 37 |
| Hungary | 49 |

| Country | Number of contributing authors |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| France | 521 |
| United States | 449 |
| Italy | 414 |
| Japan | 378 |
| Germany | 310 |
| China | 278 |
| United Kingdom | 278 |
| Spain | 255 |
| Korea | 213 |
| Czech Republic | 140 |
| Mexico | 127 |
| Brazil | 120 |
| Netherlands | 120 |
| Sweden | 119 |
| Russian Federation | 116 |
| Canada | 98 |
| Australia | 89 |
| Finland | 89 |
| Taiwan | 79 |
| Belgium | 66 |
| Portugal | 64 |
| Poland | 63 |
| Greece | 58 |
| Romania | 51 |
| Hungary | 49 |
| Singapore | 42 |

| | |
|----------------------|-----|
| Chile | 10 |
| China | 278 |
| Iceland | 5 |
| India | 19 |
| Indonesia | 4 |
| Iran | 38 |
| Ireland | 19 |
| Israel | 19 |
| Italy | 414 |
| Jamaica | 1 |
| Japan | 378 |
| Jordan | 1 |
| Kenya | 1 |
| Korea | 213 |
| Latvia | 4 |
| Macedonia | 7 |
| Malaysia | 3 |
| Mexico | 127 |
| Morocco | 7 |
| Netherlands | 120 |
| New Caledonia | 1 |
| New Zealand | 2 |
| Norway | 32 |
| Palestine | 1 |
| Poland | 63 |
| Portugal | 64 |
| Romania | 51 |
| Russian Federation | 116 |
| Singapore | 42 |
| Slovakia | 34 |
| Slovenia | 27 |
| South Africa | 22 |
| Spain | 255 |
| Sweden | 119 |
| Switzerland | 41 |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 1 |
| Taiwan | 79 |
| Thailand | 7 |
| Tunisia | 4 |
| Turkey | 34 |
| Ukraine | 6 |
| United Arab Emirates | 4 |
| United Kingdom | 278 |
| United States | 449 |
| Venezuela | 19 |
| Viet Nam | 3 |
| Yugoslavia | 5 |

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| Austria | 41 |
| Switzerland | 41 |
| Iran | 38 |
| Hong Kong | 37 |
| Denmark | 35 |
| Slovakia | 34 |
| Turkey | 34 |
| Norway | 32 |
| Slovenia | 27 |
| South Africa | 22 |
| Argentina | 20 |
| India | 19 |
| Ireland | 19 |
| Israel | 19 |
| Venezuela | 19 |
| Bulgaria | 12 |
| Belarus | 10 |
| Chile | 10 |
| Algeria | 9 |
| Estonia | 9 |
| Macedonia | 7 |
| Morocco | 7 |
| Thailand | 7 |
| Cuba | 6 |
| Ukraine | 6 |
| Iceland | 5 |
| Yugoslavia | 5 |
| Croatia | 4 |
| Indonesia | 4 |
| Latvia | 4 |
| Tunisia | 4 |
| United Arab Emirates | 4 |
| Armenia | 3 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 3 |
| Malaysia | 3 |
| Viet Nam | 3 |
| Cyprus | 2 |
| Egypt | 2 |
| New Zealand | 2 |
| Bangladesh | 1 |
| Colombia | 1 |
| Jamaica | 1 |
| Jordan | 1 |
| Kenya | 1 |
| New Caledonia | 1 |
| Palestine | 1 |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 1 |

TOTAL number of
contributing authors

5162

2.2 Number of contributing authors per region

The following World regions are defined: Europe, Asia (excluding Middle East), North America, South America, Australia, Middle East, Africa, Caribbean and Oceania.

| Region | Number of Authors |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Europe | 3012 |
| Asia (excluding Middle East) | 1102 |
| North America | 674 |
| South America | 170 |
| Australia | 89 |
| Middle East | 60 |
| Africa | 45 |
| Caribbean | 7 |
| Oceania | 3 |

It is not surprising that more than 3/5 of contributing authors come from Europe as the Congress was held in the hearth of this Continent. Another reason explaining the figure lies in the fact that the IFAC has kept tight links with European countries since its foundation. The figures in the table above however indicate growing research potential and cooperation with IFAC in Asia. Besides Japan, where research and applications in Automatic control is traditionally strong, there is a remarkable growing activity in China and Korea.

3 Industry Contribution

One of the key points of the IFAC World Congress Praha 2005 was to encourage people from industry to attend the Congress either as contributors or passive participants. The reason was to contribute to never ending story of narrowing the gap between academia and industry, academic research and practical needs. The aim was also to demonstrate close academia-industry cooperation during the Congress. Members of the IFAC Technical Board contacted many potential participants from industry in their respective field and motivated them to attend the IFAC Congress.

Who was contacted:

- manufacturers of the automation technology including hardware, software and solutions,
- R&D companies specialized in certain field of automation,
- end users of the automation technology.

The industry participation was supported through:

- “Industry Days” technical program and Industry Days program brochure, which also contained list of all contributing companies,
- advertisement and series of articles introducing the program in journals, IEEE Control Systems Magazine, Automatica and Czech journals subscribed by industrials Automa and Automatizace,
- personal invitation to participate in state of the art plenary, semi-plenary and panel sessions, tutorials and workshops in selected applied areas, namely automotive and transportation in general, power and process (chemical) industries,
- contact primarily those having running research projects with universities and academia. These projects were not necessarily company confidential and represented rather long term interests. The industrial partners did not hesitate to present the achievements or gave rights to the academic partners to write the paper on their behalf,
- invited industry plenary papers (Dr. Chand of Rockwell Automation and Prof. Bruns of Siemens) and a semi-plenary paper (Prof. Havlena of Honeywell),
- panel discussions on up-to-date topics with industrial participation (ABB,...), where the panelists spoke either to colleagues from other companies or to their customers or they wanted that their field is more treated in academia.

It is worse to mention that, besides trends and visions, plenary and semi-plenary speakers presented state of the art in selected areas focusing also on well established and proven (control, simulation, optimization, ...) techniques sending a message to academics, which area does not urgently need further research. As an example, the sophisticated control algorithms used in voltage control in electrical generation, transmission and distribution systems successfully used in practice for decades and yet there are many recent papers specifying the problem as “not solved” or “not successfully solved”.

We did not hear much about open and practically important problems having no good solution yet. It was clear that companies, manufacturers of automation technology, hesitate to open

such questions, but there were other companies, users of automation, explaining what they need so people in academia could focus research activities in here.

The preparation of industry involvement started during the 1st IPC meeting in Rotterdam, 28 August, 2003.

The effort made by the International Program Committee and members of the IFAC Technical Board resulted in participation of 176 different companies from 30 countries all around the world in the Congress technical program. There were 279 authors from industry authoring or co-authoring papers scheduled for the final program. The following table gives more detailed picture.

| Country | Number of companies with a paper | Country | Number of companies with a paper | Country | Number of companies with a paper |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Japan | 22 | United Kingdom | 6 | Russia | 2 |
| United States | 21 | Denmark | 5 | Australia | 1 |
| Germany | 19 | Canada | 4 | Belgium | 1 |
| Italy | 19 | India | 3 | Hungary | 1 |
| France | 11 | Norway | 3 | Macedonia | 1 |
| Czech Republic | 9 | Portugal | 3 | Romania | 1 |
| Finland | 9 | Sweden | 3 | Singapore | 1 |
| Korea | 8 | Switzerland | 3 | Slovakia | 1 |
| Netherlands | 8 | Brazil | 2 | Spain | 1 |
| Austria | 6 | Poland | 2 | Turkey | 1 |

The complete list of contributing companies is given in the Appendix.

4 Plenary and semi-Plenary sessions

Well-known experts in emerging/important areas of interest within IFAC were invited to share their expertise with Congress participants. Six plenary sessions were organized.

The first plenary speaker was R. Kalman, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, on “The Evolution of System Theory: My Memories and Hopes”. There was no chair left in the Congress Hall of the Prague Congress Center as everybody was eager to see and hear the living legend of the System Theory. R. Kalman reviewed the evolution of system theory over the last 100 years, and especially since R. M. Foster's famous 1924 paper. His inevitable conclusion was that (after the basic physical issues have been cleared up) progress or failure in engineering research in system theory has been directly linked to progress or failure in solving the underlying purely mathematical problems, regardless of whether these problems were already the subject of study in another unrelated context or had to be formulated ab initio.

The second plenary speech was industry oriented. S. Chand, Vice President and Chief Technical Officer of Rockwell Automation, Milwaukee, presented plenary paper entitled “From Electric Motors to Flexible Manufacturing: Control Technology Drives Industrial Automation”. Industrial Automation has evolved from stand-alone, hard-wired relay panels to

a contemporary, networked system of today that supports flexible manufacturing and enterprise integration. The presentation summarized the major technical trends, and highlighted the continuing opportunities and challenges for the application of control technologies. Trends such as the adoption of open networks like the Ethernet, migration of intelligence to sensors and actuators, and the evolution of semiconductor and sensing technologies, are driving greater distribution of control and decision-making in the architecture. The diversity of future needs was illustrated by two applications described in detail: electric motor control and autonomous agent-based systems for fault-tolerant control. S. Chand introduced the program of the first Industry Day.

The program of the first Industry Day continued by an attractive plenary given by R. Isermann, Institute of Automatic Control, TU Darmstadt, on “Mechatronic Systems: Innovative Products with Embedded Control”. Many technical processes and products in the area of mechanical and electrical engineering are showing an increasing integration of mechanics with digital electronics and information processing. Formerly mechanical functions are replaced by electronically controlled functions, resulting in simpler mechanical structures and increased functionality. Of major importance are the simultaneous design of mechanics and electronics, hardware and software and embedded control functions resulting in an integrated component or system. The contribution summarized ongoing developments for mechatronic systems, presented design approaches and examples of mechatronic products and considered especially the various embedded control functions and systems integrity. R. Isermann started with the historical development and gave definitions for mechatronic systems. Then the design methodology of mechatronic systems was considered, taking into account the design steps of simultaneous, integrated engineering. Typical development models, known as V-models, were shown, including specification, off-line simulation, control prototyping, code generation, function and system testing with hardware-in-the-loop simulation, calibration/tuning of control functions, validation and verification and field testing. Examples of mechatronic systems, like braking systems (ABS, ESP), the brake-by-wire electro-hydraulic brake system (EHB), steering systems (active front steering), active suspension systems, common rail injection systems, variable valve control systems, variable geometry turbochargers and automatic gears, were shown. Realization of embedded control functions for mechatronic systems including reliability and safety functions was discussed. Experimental results were shown for automotive drive dynamic sensors and electrical AC motors. An outlook described the development to intelligent mechatronic systems, fault-tolerant systems and drive-by-wire vehicles and discusses requirements for the education in mechatronics.

The program of the second Industry Day was introduced by plenary presentation given by M. Bruns, Vice President A&D AS Process Automation, Siemens AG. The topic was “Some Trends in Industrial Automation”. Several fast growing technologies were discussed, namely: RFID, Industrial Wireless LAN, with the main goal is to increase reliability to a level where "wireless is as safe as a wire", isochronous RT Ethernet and ICs for this technology. It was explained that the objective of isochronous RT Ethernet is to use the same Ethernet infrastructure for office and also for time critical applications e.g. machine & drive control. Current R&D focuses on refining network traffic control algorithms in order to ensure safe and reliable data transmission. R&D activities in Augmented Reality, as the intelligent combination of normal human visual perception and of computer generated information, were

presented. The plenary went on applications like plant design, complex service & maintenance and remote expert support.

A broadly attractive presentation addressing successful automatic space missions was given in the plenary entitled “The Mars Exploration Rovers: Hitting the Road on Mars” by N. Cox, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena. Since the beginning of time, people have been fascinated by Mars. History of missions was covered. Development of Mars Exploration Rovers was explained and their successful landing on Mars in January 2004 was described. The presentation discussed how the Mars Rover mission fitted into the overall Mars Program and NASA. The full story of building the rovers including autonomous control ability on the surface was described. The process of developing and testing autonomous functions was documented. Since landing, NASA had seen those capabilities at work and they have been critical in the rovers success at finding evidence of past water. There was a remarkable and positive feedback from the audience regarding the topic and also the form of the presentation. Unlike the other plenary presentations, N. Cox did not focus on automatic control itself but presented the Aerospace as an application area where automatic control plays a leading role. The presentation itself was a great success. Number of young people discussed the topic with Mrs Cox days after the presentation.

In the “Issues on Robust Adaptive Feedback Control” by M. Athans et al., MIT and Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, the recent progress in the field of robust adaptive control was summarized. A general philosophy for designing “robust” adaptive multivariable feedback control systems for plants that include both unmodeled dynamics and uncertain real parameters in the plant state description was discussed. More recent approaches to the adaptive problem involve multiple-model techniques where the parameter uncertainty set is subdivided into smaller subsets; each giving rise to a different plant model but with reduced parameter uncertainty. The identification of the most likely model was carried out by a “supervisor”, which either switches in and out the controllers based primarily on deterministic concepts or relies upon stochastic designs (dynamic hypotheses-testing) that generate on-line posterior probabilities reflecting which of the models is more likely. The following questions regarding models employed were defined: (a) how to divide the initial large parameter uncertain set into N smaller subsets, (b) what should be the size of each subset and (c) how big should N be. The talk focused on “robust performance” requirements on the adaptive system implemented by one of the available multiple-model methods by exploiting recent advances on robust nonadaptive designs using the so-called mixed- μ methodology. A systematic method for selecting the smallest number of models while guaranteeing a priori bounds on desired performance was presented.

Semi-plenary sessions concluded the technical program on Monday and Wednesday. M. Morari, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, presented an attractive talk on “Hybrid Systems: Theory, Computation and Applications”. Historical examples and an introduction to the emerging area of hybrid systems, i.e. dynamical systems with switches, were provided. Examples from power electronics, systems with hard bounds and/or friction, driver assistance systems, anesthesia and active vibration control were described as systems belonging to the category. highlight the Theoretical developments were highlighted and the extensive software that helps to bring the theory to bear on the practical examples was mentioned. In conclusion, an outlook for hybrid systems and control was generalized.

The second semi-plenary lecture by J. Bokor, Hungarian Academy of Science, Budapest, and G. Balas, University of Minnesota, was on “Linear Parameter Varying Systems: a geometric theory and applications”. Linear Parameter Varying (LPV) systems appear in many modeling and control problems related to aerospace or vehicle system applications. This talk will propose a geometric view on the LPV systems. Elaborating the geometric concepts and tools of parameter varying invariant subspaces, the authors investigated invariant subspace algorithms for a class of LPV systems. Using the geometric results and the associated invariant subspace algorithms, prototype control problems like disturbance decoupling problem and the like were discussed for affine LPV systems. The advantage gained by using LPV formalism was shown and solutions to some nonlinear problems, that could be hardly computable in the original nonlinear form, were demonstrated. Applications to aerospace control design and road vehicle control systems were shown using MATLAB.

The other two semi-plenary lectures were organized within the program of the second Industry Day. The first semi-plenary lecture of the Industry Day, entitled “A Distributed Automation Framework for Plant-Wide Control, Optimisation, Scheduling and Planning”, was prepared jointly by V. Havlena, Honeywell Laboratory Prague, and J. Lu, Honeywell Process Solutions, Phoenix. The objective of the talk was to identify current open problems and trends in plant wide control and demonstrate a solution based on distributed, solution component based architecture for integrated process management, embracing the layers of Advanced Process Control, Real Time Optimisation and Planning & Scheduling, in selected application areas. The problems and outlined solutions were intended to stimulate discussion as well as attract more research interest.

A more specific issue was presented in the last semi-plenary “Systems Engineering for Irrigation Systems: Successes and Challenges” by I. Mareels et al. In Australia gravity fed irrigation systems are critical infrastructure essential to agricultural production and export. By supplementing these large scale civil engineering systems with an appropriate information infrastructure, sensors, actuators and a communication network it is feasible to use systems engineering ideas to improve the exploitation of the irrigation system. The presentation reported how classical ideas from system identification and control can be used to automate irrigation systems to deliver a near on-demand water supply with vastly improved overall distribution efficiency.

5 Milestone Sessions

Milestone session was a special form of a panel discussion organized by the IFAC Technical Board, where Milestone reports describing progress in systems and signals, design methods, computers, cognition and communication, mechatronics, robotics and components, manufacturing, industrial automation, transportation, bio & ecological systems and social systems made between Congresses were presented. The following sections describe individual reports in details.

5.1 Trends in Systems and Signals

The status report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Systems and Signals, i.e. by T. Katayama, T. McKelvey, A. Sano, C. Cassandras and M. Campi. The report discussed problems and methodologies that lie in the broad scope of systems and signals, with special focus on modeling, identification and signal processing; adaptation and learning; discrete event and hybrid systems; and stochastic systems. A common theme underlying all

these areas is that problems in control systems and signals are usually defined and best studied in the framework of stochastic approach. Although there are common precepts among all these technologies, there are also many unique topics within each area. The key problems in each technology were explained, followed by a discussion on recent major accomplishments with trends, and forecasts.

5.2 Theory, Algorithms and Technology in the Design of Control Systems

The report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Design Methods. The authors were R. Bars, P. Colaneri, C. E. de Souza, F. Allgöwer, A. Kleimenov and C. Scherer. The report gave an overview of the current key problems in control theory and design, evaluated recent accomplishments and forecasted some new areas. Design of very large distributed systems was presented as a new challenge to control theory including robust control. Control over the networks became an important application area. Development and use of systems of virtual reality was also mentioned. Distributed hybrid control systems involving extremely large number of interacting control loops, coordinating large number of autonomous agents, handling very large model uncertainties will be in the center of future research. New achievements in bioinformatics will result in new applications.

5.3 Information and Communication Technology in Control

The Status Report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Computers, Cognition and Communication, W. A. Halang, R. Sanz, R. Babuska and H. Roth. A new approach in control engineering “Information Processing for Action” was presented, in which control, computers, communication and cognition play equal roles in addressing real-life problems from very small-scale devices to very large-scale industrial processes and non-technical applications. Thus, the C2 paradigm of “Computers for Control” is shifting towards the C4 paradigm of “Computers, Communication and Cognition for Control” providing an integrated perspective on the role computers play in control systems and control plays in computer systems. This change is mainly due to new developments in computers and knowledge management, and the rapidly emerging field of telecommunications providing a number of possible applications in control. Control engineers will have to master computer and software technologies to be able to build the systems of the future, and software engineers need to use control concepts to master the ever-increasing complexity of computing systems.

5.4 Mechatronics, Robotics and Components for Automation and Control

The Status Report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Mechatronics, Robotics and Components, A. Ollero, S. Boverie, R. Goodall, J. Sasiadek, H. Erbe and D. Zuehlke. The report was devoted to the analysis of a broad field of Mechatronics, Robotics and Components for automation and control systems. Several subfields were considered: i) components and instruments, involving sensors, actuators, embedded systems and communications; ii) mechatronics concepts and technologies; iii) robotics; iv) human-machine systems, including technical issues and social implications; and v) cost-oriented automation which is a multidisciplinary field involving theory, technologies and application as well as economical and social issues. First current key problems in this field were introduced then, the accomplishment and trends were analyzed. Finally, the forecast was presented.

5.5 From Plant and Logistics Control to Multi-Enterprise Collaboration

The Status Report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Manufacturing Systems, S. Y. Nof, G. Morel, L. Monostori, A. Molina and F. Filip. The problems like management of complexity, scalability, increasing costs, coordination and market-based resource allocation, including recent accomplishments and trends, were discussed. The trends in control and automation techniques, manufacturing plant automation, collaborative control through integration and networking, and control methods applied to extended enterprises and large-scale critical infrastructure were presented. A forecast for the next generation manufacturing system; e-work; integration, coordination and collaboration; networked, distributed decision support (NDSS); and active middleware was shown.

5.6 Monitoring and Control of Process and Power Systems: Towards new Paradigms

The Status Report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Industrial Systems, D. Dochain, W. Marquardt, S. Chul Won, O. Malik, and M. Kinnaert. Process and power plant control, along with fault detection/isolation are being addressed by significant on-going research with many theoretical developments focused on improvements for all of these major industrial applications. The report provided an overview of the current key problems, recent accomplishments and trends, as well as a forecast of anticipated developments within this very important field of industrial applications.

5.7 The Impact of Automatic Control on Recent Developments in Transportation and Vehicle Systems

The Status Report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Transportation Systems, U. Kiencke, L. Nielsen, R. Sutton, K. Schilling, M. Papageorgiou and H. Asama. The report focused on the rising need for transportation services and the demand for a higher safety level. While each domain takes specific approach to deal with these demands, a general trend towards automatic co-pilots or even autopilots is visible. In the automotive domain, this is aided by the design of drive by wire systems. In other fields like marine or aerospace systems, the focus of research is on the swarming behavior of multiple vessels. New sensors and networking will also enable more efficient traffic flow control, which will allow for a better use of the resource network capacity. Another reported trend in the vehicle systems sector was modeling of nonlinear system behavior, which has started to replace look-up tables in real time systems.

5.8 Dealing with Bio- and Ecological Complexity: Challenges and Opportunities

The Status Report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Bio- and Ecological Systems, E. Carson, D. Dagan Feng, M.-N. Pons, R. Soncini-Sessa and G. van Straten. The complexities of the dynamic processes and their control associated with biological and ecological systems offer many challenges for the control engineer. Over the past decades the application of dynamic modelling and control has aided understanding of their complexities. At the same time using such complex systems as test-beds for new control methods has highlighted their limitations (e.g. in relation to system identification) and has thus acted as a catalyst for methodological advance. This paper continues the theme of exploring opportunities and achievements in applying modelling and control in the bio- and ecological domains.

5.9 Control System Approaches for Sustainable Development and Instability Management in the Globalization Age

The Status Report was prepared by the IFAC Coordinating Committee on Social Systems, A. Talha Dinibütin, R. Neck, J. Stahre, G. M. Dimirovski, L. B. Vlacic and F. Kile. Advanced information technologies resulting from automation of control and decision expertise have a multitude of impacts on development of national economies within the global economy. The broad area of social systems, being essentially human centered systems, is a cross-, inter- and multi-disciplinary challenge to control community. Social systems in modern civilization, currently undergoing globalization, were reviewed from the systems science viewpoint and on the grounds of recent developments in control science and technology. Recent developments put new emphasis on the social responsibility of the control and automation field during the on-going changes from the cold-war bipolar world to a unipolar one on the way to mankind's multi-polar world of the future. The focus should be on innovative systems approaches, employing new paradigms, to combined knowledge and technology transfer world-wide, that may remedy some of the negative aspects of globalization.

6 Survey Papers

Number of survey papers characterized by a particularly broad scope of the overviewed problems was submitted. As it is not possible to go through all of them let's focus on those that touched the widest audience. The paper by R. Neck gave an introduction to the theory of dynamic games and presented economic applications of the theory. The survey by A. Dolgui et al. was focused on the parameterisation of Material Requirement Planning systems under demand and lead-time uncertainties. Infinite time linear-quadratic control problem was discussed by J. C. Willems et al. from a behavioral point of view. Promising approaches for enhancing the performance of intelligent control systems facing higher level of complexity and uncertainty were surveyed by R. Herzallah. The paper by Il Seop Choi et al. investigated strong and weak points of various control algorithms in the looper-tension technology in hot rolling mills. Another survey by D. Major et al. focused on fundamental and practical aspects of pulp bleaching control. The paper by G. Morel et al. summarized the key problems, trends and accomplishments of manufacturing plant control. An overview of recent advances in wireless communication technologies applied to industrial automation was provided in Mogens L. Mathiesen et al.. The paper by R. Harrison et al. made a case for the widespread adoption of a collaborative automation paradigm, which promises to provide more flexible and reconfigurable production systems. A. Ilchmann surveyed the development of the algebraic theory of time-varying linear systems, while P. Biswas et al. gave a survey on stability analysis of discrete-time piecewise affine systems. The paper by T. Salsbury described the state of the art in control in building automation industry and reviewed new and emerging technologies in this particular field. The paper by K. E. Arzén and A. Cervin provided a survey of the role of feedback control in embedded real-time systems and highlighted recent research efforts and future research directions in this research area.

7 Panel Sessions

Four panel sessions were organized. Three of them were part of the Industry Days program and one was educational.

“Infotronic Technologies for e-maintenance regarding the cost aspects” was a panel organized by G. Morel (CRAN Institute, Univ. Nancy), J. Lee (IMS Center, University of Cincinnati),

H. Erbe (TU Berlin), G. Seliger (TU Berlin and Fraunhofer IPK- Berlin), M. Hecht (TU Berlin, Rail Vehicles), E. Hohwieler (Fraunhofer IPK-Berlin), F. Kimura (Univ. of Tokyo), H. Hang (Texas Tech College of Engineering) and D. Kiritsis (EPF, Lausanne). The panel hosted leaders from equipment and service users, equipment and service providers, and experts from academia. Industrial panelists discussed and addressed issues and challenges to realize cost effective e-maintenance strategies. Academic leaders presented the state-of-the-art technologies and tools with examples. An exchange of experience with implemented prognostics of expected equipment failures based on condition monitoring predicting degradation was the main focus of the session.

“Collaborating Robotic Systems (Human – Robot, Robot – Robot)” was a panel organized by H. Erbe and R. Bernhardt. The role of collaboration of human operators and automation systems like robots to achieve more flexibility in production and saving cost by avoiding repeated reconfiguration of the systems was discussed. Collaborative Robots (COBOTS, or intelligent power assist devices (IPAD)) were presented. Compared to the existing systems, the IPAD was described as advantageous in regard to appreciably lower costs, significantly improved ergonomics, simpler intuitive operation, rapid movements with a higher level of precision and considerably reduced stress during manipulation of heavy loads. Stand-alone industry robots are used in a structured environment for welding, painting, and handling. The collaboration of those units in material handling and processing for saving time and manufacturing cost was discussed.

“Industrial Perspectives on Process Control”, that was one of the key event of the second Industry Day, was organized by W. Marquardt; A. Isaksson, B. J. Cott, K.-U. Klatt and J. A. Mandler. This panel discussion provided a forum whereby representatives of major industrial sectors from U.S. and Europe (ABB, Shell, Bayer, Air Products) discussed successful applications of Process Control in industrial practice, identified major needs and opportunities for application of advanced Process Control in industrial problems, and discussed the interplay between academic research in Process Control and industrial practice.

“Rethinking Control Education in the Modern World” was organized by L. Vlacic. In an effort to make the discipline of control more attractive to students the subject is often introduced as an enabling technology in the context of embedded electronic systems, intelligent robots, mechatronic systems, advanced communication systems, space technology, etc. While this approach works well in promoting the field of control, it however raises the following questions: how much of the advanced computing technology do we need to use in presenting the basic control topics; are we going to fall into the trap of being technology driven and thus start to lose analytical problem solving skills; are we about to change the way we teach control; are all of these approaches going to change the profile of the control discipline? The questions were discussed by the panelists, C. G. Cassandras, T. Djaferis, S. Dormido, S. Kahne and M. Spong.

8 Tutorials and Workshops

There were numerous tutorial and workshop proposals received and evaluated by the IPC. Not all the proposed tutorials and workshops were organized due to the number of registered participants. The following events formed the two days pre-Congress program.

8.1 Tutorials

“Control Applications in Physics: From Control of Chaos to Quantum Control” by A. Fradkov, H. Nijmeijer and S. Sieniutycz. In the tutorial a number of new application fields related to studying properties of physical systems by means of feedback were exposed. The subject and methodology of cybernetical physics was outlined. Methods of energy control in conservative and dissipative systems were presented. Applications in physics: feedback resonance phenomenon in nonlinear oscillators, escape from potential wells, control and synchronization in oscillatory chains, etc. were shown. The emerging field of controlling chaotic behavior was introduced presented. Among other methods, feedforward control by periodic signal, linearization of Poincare map (OGY method), and delayed feedback (Pyragas method) were analyzed. Concepts and results related to controlled synchronization were outlined. A brief exposition of control thermodynamics was given and demonstrated on treating physical or economical problems of optimal control and behavior of physical or practical systems under prescribed external conditions that were predicted from suitable variational or extremum principles. An introductory exposition of the field related to control of molecular systems, based on both classical and quantum description of the controlled molecular motion, was given.

“Techniques for Control of Distributed Process Systems” by P. Christofides, D. Dochain, P. Daoutidis and A. Armaou. Advanced techniques for control of distributed process systems were presented starting with overview of distributed process control problems and modeling of distributed process systems. Techniques for control of nonlinear distributed parameter systems, including problems of nonlinear parabolic and hyperbolic PDEs: order reduction, feedback control design, closed-loop stability, handling of practical control issues: robust and adaptive control design for model uncertainty compensation, control subject to input and state constraints including Lyapunov-based and predictive control, control subject to delays, reduction and control of two-time-scale hyperbolic PDEs, were shown. Techniques for control of stochastic distributed parameter systems and modeling of stochastic processes with emphasis on thin film growth, identification of stochastic distributed models and feedback control design with applications were explained.

“TrueTime: Real-Time Control System Simulation Using MATLAB/Simulink” by D. Henriksson, A. Cervin, M. Andersson and K.-E. Arzen. TrueTime is a tool that offers system-wide simulation of the temporal behavior of multi-tasking real-time kernels executing controller tasks. TrueTime also makes it possible to simulate models of common communication network protocols and their influence on networked control loops. The tutorial was a mini-course about the TrueTime simulator and its intended use in the design of real-time control systems. A number of interactive examples were presented to visualize the various aspects of the simulator, e.g. the effect of task scheduling on control performance, task synchronization using monitors and events, interrupt handling and handling of task overruns, and control over wired and wireless networks.

8.2 Workshops

“The Power, Beauty and Excitement of the Cross- Boundaries Nature of Control” was a workshop organized by L. Vlacic and B. Pasik-Duncan. The workshop was sponsored by IFAC and IEEE. The Workshop aimed at inspiring the interest from youth towards studies in

Automatic Control and to assist high school teachers in promoting the discipline of Automatic Control among their students. It was composed of several short but effective presentations on various problems from the real world that had been solved by using control engineering methods, techniques and technologies. The attractiveness and excitement of choosing a career in control engineering was addressed. Live interaction between the presenters and the audience was an important feature of the Workshop. The speakers were T.E. Djaferis (University of Massachusetts, Amherst), C. G. Cassandras (Boston University), M. W. Spong (Urbana), P. Horacek (Czech Technical University in Prague), B. Pasik-Duncan (University of Kansas) and L. Vlacic (Griffith University).

“Nonlinear Model Predictive Control: Introduction and Current Topics” was organized by R. Findeisen, F. Allgöwer, M. Diehl, L. Magni and Z. Nagy. The focus of this tutorial was twofold. Besides an in depth introduction to the basic ideas and principles of (nonlinear) predictive control current application and research issues in NMPC spanning from stability and robustness, output-feedback, efficient numerical solution as well as implementation aspects were discussed. For this purpose the course was split up in six parts: an introduction as well as a historical review of predictive control, how to achieve nominal stability of the closed-loop using NMPC, the robust design of NMPC, an overview on output-feedback in conjunction with NMPC, numerical solution and implementation of NMPC, and applications.

“Fault tolerant control of large complex systems” was organized by L. Marconi and A. Paoli. This full-day workshop aimed at giving an overview of the recent research activity in the area. The program included a general introduction to the Fault Tolerant Control problem with some definitions and description of structural properties of fault tolerant systems. Fault tolerant control architectures in the framework of distributed systems were the key subjects. Methods to design Fault Tolerant Control Systems based on different classes of models were presented and illustrated. Nonlinear systems with uncertainties and discrete event systems (both deterministic and stochastic) were considered and possible solutions to the FTC problem were presented. The speakers were M. Staroswiecki, M. Kinnaert, L. Marconi, T. Parisini, Jakob Stoustrup, Andrea Paoli, N. E. Wu and J. L. Speyer.

“Wireless sensor networks and cooperating objects” was organized by A. Ollero and A. Wolisz. the Workshop will explore the concept of networked embedded devices, where the intelligence in the devices is not only used to simply obtain information about the environment / the supervised “system”, but also to exert control on it, which in turn requires intelligence in the devices to make decisions. The workshop reviewed concepts, technologies and applications in wireless sensor networks as well as in cooperating embedded systems for control. The workshop program was inspired by the coordination action entitled “Cooperating Embedded Systems for Exploration and Control featuring Wireless Sensor Networks” (Embedded WiSeNts) funded by the European Commission in the Sixth Framework Program (Information Society Technologies).

9 Sessions

Plenary, semi-Plenary, Milestone, Panel and Regular sessions were organized. The table below gives figures for sessions excluding regular ones.

| Session category | number |
|------------------|--------|
| plenaries | 6 |
| semi-plenaries | 4 |
| milestones | 9 |
| panels | 4 |

Sessions fall into several categories according to the form of the paper presentation. There were sessions with oral and poster presentations. Some sessions were submitted as invited, i.e. contained invited papers, the other sessions were contributed, i.e. contained papers submitted individually. The following table shows number of sessions organized in the respective category. Numbers available for preceding IFAC Congresses are also included.

| Session category | Beijing 1999 | Barcelona 2002 | Prague 2005 |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| poster sessions | 46 | 12 | 54 |
| oral sessions | 215 | 240 | 302 |
| invited sessions | NA | NA | 98 |
| contributed sessions | NA | NA | 258 |
| all kind of sessions | 261 | 252 | 356 |

Invited sessions in the table above also include plenary, semi-plenary and all kind of panel sessions. Number of papers included in the respective session category is shown in the table below.

| Paper category | number |
|------------------------|--------|
| poster papers | 778 |
| oral paper | 1638 |
| invited papers | 467 |
| contributed papers | 1989 |
| Total number of papers | 2456 |

The decision of what paper will be presented orally and what will be a poster was taken by the IPC at the 3rd IPC Meeting organized after the end of the review period. The members of the IPC mostly followed the suggestion of reviewers and a TC Chair regarding the most appropriate form of the presentation of a paper. The general rule was that, unlike in some other conferences, the form of the presentation was decided based on the nature of the paper. The poster presentation did not mean lower paper quality. Much more presentation time was given to a poster. The poster could stay displayed the whole Congress day while a paper presented orally got 20 minutes. This policy was however not well understood by some of the authors and not only the authors. Some universities did not support an author when his/her paper was included in the program as a poster.

10 Technical Areas

Structuring of topics of contributions traditionally matches the structure of the IFAC Technical Board. The following table gives the picture of how many sessions were organized under each Technical Area.

| IFAC Clusters and Technical Areas | total | oral | poster |
|---|-------|------|--------|
| Systems and signals | | | |
| Modelling, Identification & Signal Processing | 37 | 28 | 9 |
| Adaptive and Learning Systems | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Discrete Event and Hybrid Systems | 12 | 11 | 1 |
| Stochastic Systems | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Design methods | | | |
| Control Design | 26 | 24 | 2 |
| Linear Control Systems | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| Non-Linear Control Systems | 30 | 27 | 3 |
| Optimal Control | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| Robust Control | 15 | 13 | 2 |
| Computers, cognition and communication | | | |
| Computers for Control | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| Cognition and Control (AI, Fuzzy, Neuro, Evolut.Comp.) | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Computers and Telematics | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Mechatronics, robotics and components | | | |
| Components and Instruments | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| Mechatronic Systems | 9 | 8 | 1 |
| Robotics | 17 | 15 | 2 |
| Cost Oriented Automation | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Human Machine Systems | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Manufacturing systems | | | |
| Manufacturing Plant Control | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| Manufacturing Modelling for Management and Control | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Enterprise Integration and Networking | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Large Scale Complex Systems | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Industrial systems | | | |
| Chemical Process Control | 15 | 13 | 2 |
| Mining, Mineral & Metal Processing | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| Power Plants and Power Systems | 9 | 8 | 1 |
| Safeprocess | 14 | 12 | 2 |

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|
| Transportation systems | | | |
| Automotive Control | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| Marine Systems | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Aerospace | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| Transportation Systems | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Intelligent Autonomous Vehicles | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Bio & ecological systems | | | |
| Control in Agriculture | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Modelling & Control of Biomedical Systems | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Modelling & Control of Environmental Systems | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Control of Biotechnological Processes | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Social systems | | | |
| Economic & Business Systems | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Social Impact of Automation | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Developing Countries | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Control Education | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| SWISS | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 360 | 302 | 58 |

Number of papers included in the final program should also give a good picture of what are the areas having rather larger scope or where is the research concentrated nowadays. Of course the area of modeling and identification is very broad so there is no surprise that this is the leading area accommodating vast number of papers. The table below provides precise figures including number of invite papers per area, which is a good indicator of activities inside the particular community. Some trends could also be estimated when we compare the Prague statistics with that of Barcelona. However the figures should be compared and the conclusions should be taken with care as the difference might be not only due to the increasing research activity in the respective area but also due to the personal initiative and enthusiasm of members of the IFAC Technical Board and people who organized invited sessions.

| | IFAC Clusters and Technical Areas | papers b'02 ^{*)} | papers Praha'05 | invited papers Praha'05 |
|------|---|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Systems and signals | | | |
| 1.1. | Modelling, Identification & Signal Processing | 140 | 235 | 25 |
| 1.2. | Adaptive and Learning Systems | 63 | 67 | 6 |
| 1.3. | Discrete Event and Hybrid Systems | 36 | 74 | 25 |
| 1.4. | Stochastic Systems | 28 | 50 | 0 |
| | Design methods | | | |
| 2.1. | Control Design | 147 | 179 | 31 |
| 2.2. | Linear Control Systems | 83 | 83 | 18 |
| 2.3. | Non-Linear Control Systems | 142 | 209 | 36 |
| 2.4. | Optimal Control | 52 | 94 | 17 |
| 2.5. | Robust Control | 99 | 107 | 7 |
| | Computers, cognition and communication | | | |
| 3.1. | Computers for Control | 45 | 39 | 19 |
| 3.2. | Cognition and Control | 86 | 87 | 0 |
| 3.3. | Computers and Telematics | 13 | 26 | 12 |

| | | | | |
|------|--|-----|-------------|------------|
| | Mechatronics, robotics and components | | | |
| 4.1. | Components and Instruments | 13 | 34 | 20 |
| 4.2. | Mechatronic Systems | 23 | 60 | 19 |
| 4.3. | Robotics | 93 | 130 | 7 |
| 4.4. | Cost Oriented Automation | 13 | 10 | 5 |
| 4.5. | Human Machine Systems | 8 | 24 | 0 |
| | Manufacturing systems | | | |
| 5.1. | Manufacturing Plant Control | 47 | 59 | 36 |
| 5.2. | Manufacturing Modelling for Management and Control | 45 | 35 | 0 |
| 5.3. | Enterprise Integration and Networking | 7 | 23 | 17 |
| 5.4. | Large Scale Complex Systems | 24 | 39 | 11 |
| | Industrial systems | | | |
| 6.1. | Chemical Process Control | 80 | 105 | 36 |
| 6.2. | Mining, Mineral & Metal Processing | 28 | 57 | 16 |
| 6.3. | Power Plants and Power Systems | 48 | 75 | 12 |
| 6.4. | Safeprocess | 116 | 96 | 12 |
| | Transportation systems | | | |
| 7.1. | Automotive Control | 50 | 56 | 1 |
| 7.2. | Marine Systems | 20 | 23 | 0 |
| 7.3. | Aerospace | 42 | 67 | 36 |
| 7.4. | Transportation Systems | 10 | 32 | 0 |
| 7.5. | Intelligent Autonomous Vehicles | 19 | 38 | 0 |
| | Bio & ecological systems | | | |
| 8.1. | Control in Agriculture | 20 | 24 | 8 |
| 8.2. | Modelling & Control of Biomedical Systems | 24 | 59 | 0 |
| 8.3. | Modelling & Control of Environmental Systems | 21 | 38 | 0 |
| 8.4. | Control of Biotechnological Processes | 36 | 32 | 18 |
| | Social systems | | | |
| 9.1. | Economic & Business Systems | 15 | 27 | 6 |
| 9.2. | Social Impact of Automation | 14 | 6 | 6 |
| 9.3. | Developing Countries | 1 | 10 | 6 |
| 9.4. | Control Education | 19 | 36 | 6 |
| 9.5. | SWIIS | 6 | 11 | 11 |
| | Total | | 2456 | 485 |

*) The structure of the IFAC Technical Board for Barcelona and Prague was different so the papers reported for Barcelona were re-classified into areas valid for Prague. The total number of b'02 papers was not changed.

11 No Show Papers

It was assumed that authors submitted their papers in good faith, that is, they did intend to attend the Congress. No-show papers will not be published in the Congress Proceedings. Only 2,4 % oral presentations and 2,6 % posters, out of the total number of papers scheduled for the final program, was not presented. This number is extremely low.

12 Reviewers

A special thanks is passed to thousands of reviewers who evaluated papers submitted to the Congress. The table below, with number of reviewers authorized by a TC Chair to submit

reviews, shows how was the review process organized and what was the average load of a reviewer within the IFAC Technical Committee.

| | IFAC Clusters and Technical Areas | submitted papers | reviewers |
|---|---|------------------|-----------|
| Systems and signals | | | |
| 1.1. | Modelling, Identification & Signal Processing | 315 | 86 |
| 1.2. | Adaptive and Learning Systems | 90 | 68 |
| 1.3. | Discrete Event and Hybrid Systems | 109 | 50 |
| 1.4. | Stochastic Systems | 70 | 56 |
| Design methods | | | |
| 2.1. | Control Design | 242 | 202 |
| 2.2. | Linear Control Systems | 138 | 278 |
| 2.3. | Non-Linear Control Systems | 283 | 506 |
| 2.4. | Optimal Control | 121 | 104 |
| 2.5. | Robust Control | 160 | 150 |
| Computers, cognition and communication | | | |
| 3.1. | Computers for Control | 53 | 81 |
| 3.2. | Cognition and Control (AI, Fuzzy, Neuro, Evolut.Comp.) | 126 | 107 |
| 3.3. | Computers and Telematics | 31 | 23 |
| Mechatronics, robotics and components | | | |
| 4.1. | Components and Instruments | 41 | 27 |
| 4.2. | Mechatronic Systems | 82 | 59 |
| 4.3. | Robotics | 153 | 95 |
| 4.4. | Cost Oriented Automation | 11 | 29 |
| 4.5. | Human Machine Systems | 25 | 11 |
| Manufacturing systems | | | |
| 5.1. | Manufacturing Plant Control | 94 | 68 |
| 5.2. | Manufacturing Modelling for Management and Control | 41 | 37 |
| 5.3. | Enterprise Integration and Networking | 28 | 41 |
| 5.4. | Large Scale Complex Systems | 43 | 44 |
| Industrial systems | | | |
| 6.1. | Chemical Process Control | 132 | 69 |
| 6.2. | Mining, Mineral & Metal Processing | 61 | 35 |
| 6.3. | Power Plants and Power Systems | 102 | 77 |
| 6.4. | Safeprocess | 162 | 135 |
| Transportation systems | | | |
| 7.1. | Automotive Control | 74 | 36 |
| 7.2. | Marine Systems | 33 | 13 |
| 7.3. | Aerospace | 77 | 26 |
| 7.4. | Transportation Systems | 37 | 71 |
| 7.5. | Intelligent Autonomous Vehicles | 55 | 34 |
| Bio & ecological systems | | | |
| 8.1. | Control in Agriculture | 31 | 45 |
| 8.2. | Modelling & Control of Biomedical Systems | 65 | 26 |
| 8.3. | Modelling & Control of Environmental Systems | 41 | 28 |
| 8.4. | Control of Biotechnological Porcesses | 45 | 38 |

| Social systems | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 9.1. | Economic & Business Systems | 42 | 22 |
| 9.2. | Social Impact of Automation | 6 | 14 |
| 9.3. | Developing Countries | 10 | 32 |
| 9.4. | Control Education | 44 | 39 |
| 9.5. | SWIIS | 11 | 10 |
| Total | | 3284 | 2872 |

Note that some of the names were registered under multiple areas. The total number of reviewers is thus lower than the sum indicated in the above table. Actual number is 2054, i.e. less than 28% of reviewers served more than one technical area.

13 Appendix – Complete list of companies contributing to the Congress program

| No of co-authors from the company | Company | Country |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|
| 1 | 2-control ApS | Denmark |
| 1 | A.P.I. Refinery Falconara | Italy |
| 1 | Aalborg Industries A/S | Denmark |
| 1 | ABB | Belgium |
| 1 | ABB | Norway |
| 2 | ABB Automation Technologies AB - Robotics | Sweden |
| 5 | ABB Automation Technology | Sweden |
| 2 | ABB Corporate Research Ltd. | Switzerland |
| 1 | ABB Corporate Research, Ladenburg | Germany |
| 1 | AFRL, Munitions Directorate, Eglin Air Force Base | United States |
| 2 | AG der Dillinger Hüttenwerke | Germany |
| 2 | Agrotechnology & Food Innovations B.V. | Netherlands |
| 4 | AIRBUS | France |
| 1 | Aldec-ADT, Advanced Design Technology | Poland |
| 1 | ALSTOM Switzerland Ltd. | Switzerland |
| 1 | Alstom Transport | France |
| 1 | API Oil Industry | Italy |
| 1 | Arca Technologie s.r.l. | Italy |
| 4 | ARCSr GmbH / Mechatronic Automation Systems | Austria |
| 1 | Areva T&D Ltd | United Kingdom |
| 1 | AspenTech | Italy |
| 1 | AspenTech | United Kingdom |
| 1 | ASTI Control S.A, Bucharest | Romania |
| 1 | Atlas Copco Compressor Int. Slovakia | Slovakia |
| 1 | Aucotec GmbH | Germany |
| 2 | Audi AG I/EF-56 | Germany |
| 2 | AUTEC s.r.o. | Czech Republic |
| 2 | BAE Systems | United Kingdom |
| 1 | BAE Systems, Advanced Information Technologies | United States |
| 1 | Bailey Japan Co. Ltd. | Japan |
| 1 | BASF Aktiengesellschaft, Ludwigshafen | Germany |
| 1 | BizT@lk AG | Germany |
| 1 | BNW AG E-30 | Germany |
| 1 | BOC | Austria |
| 1 | BPBiT Leader (Leading Designer) | Poland |
| 2 | Brembo | Italy |
| 1 | Camotion Inc. | United States |
| 1 | Centre Technique Renault | France |
| 6 | Centro Ricerche Fiat | Italy |
| 1 | CESI spa | Italy |

| No of co-authors from the company | Company | Country |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|
| 1 | Cimmedia Ltd | United Kingdom |
| 1 | CIPAN S.A. | Portugal |
| 1 | Comau S.p.A. | Italy |
| 1 | COMPUREG Plzen, s.r.o. | Czech Republic |
| 1 | Conwell Ltd. Co. | Korea |
| 1 | DAF Trucks NV | Netherlands |
| 3 | Daimler Chrysler AG | Germany |
| 1 | Danfoss A/S | Denmark |
| 1 | Danieli Automation SpA | Italy |
| 2 | DASFOS, v.o.s. | Czech Republic |
| 1 | Data Storage Institute | Singapore |
| 1 | Davidson Technologies, Inc. | United States |
| 1 | Delphi Diesel Systems | France |
| 3 | DENSEI-LAMBDA K.K. | Japan |
| 2 | Deutsche BP AG | Germany |
| 1 | DHV water BV | Netherlands |
| 5 | DLR - German Aerospace Center | Germany |
| 1 | Eaton Innovation Center | United States |
| 1 | ECOTRONICS GmbH | Austria |
| 2 | Electricité de France | France |
| 1 | Elettronica Santerno | Italy |
| 2 | Ferrari Spa | Italy |
| 1 | Finnforest Ltd. | Finland |
| 1 | Firmenich SA | Switzerland |
| 3 | FLS Automation A/S | Denmark |
| 3 | Ford Motor Company | Germany |
| 3 | Ford Motor Company | United States |
| 3 | Fuji Electric Advanced Technology Ltd. | Japan |
| 1 | GalpEnergia | Portugal |
| 3 | GE Global Research | United States |
| 1 | Gebr. Lang GmbH Papierfabrik | Germany |
| 1 | General Motors Research & Development Center | United States |
| 1 | Global Software Group, Motorola | United Kingdom |
| 1 | GTS Industries Group Dillinger Hütte | France |
| 1 | GTZ, Technology Transfer Center Skopje | Macedonia |
| 1 | Hartwall Ltd | Finland |
| 3 | Hewlett-Packard Company | United States |
| 2 | Hitachi Global Storage Technologies | United States |
| 1 | Hitachi Industries Co. Ltd. | Japan |
| 1 | Hitachi Ltd. | Japan |
| 1 | Hitachi STRC | Japan |
| 2 | Honda R&D | Japan |
| 8 | Honeywell Laboratories Prague | Czech Republic |
| 2 | Honeywell Labs | United States |

| No of co-authors from the company | Company | Country |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|
| 1 | Honeywell Ltd. | India |
| 1 | Honeywell Process Solutions | United States |
| 2 | Hospital Clínico San Carlos | Spain |
| 1 | Hydrion BV | Netherlands |
| 1 | IAV GmbH | Germany |
| 1 | IBM | United States |
| 1 | Idpiconseil | France |
| 1 | IMV CORPORATION | Japan |
| 1 | INAIL - Centro Protesi | Italy |
| 2 | Infoteam GmbH | Germany |
| 1 | Instron Ltd | United Kingdom |
| 4 | Intecs S.p.A. | Italy |
| 1 | Intel | United States |
| 1 | Intellimicrons | Korea |
| 1 | Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. | Japan |
| 1 | ISRO-Thiruvananthapuram | India |
| 3 | JAKK | Finland |
| 1 | Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Institute of Space and Astronautical Science | Japan |
| 2 | Jet Propulsion Laboratory | United States |
| 4 | JFE Advantech Co., Ltd. | Japan |
| 5 | JFE R&D Corp. | Japan |
| 14 | JFE Steel Corporation | Japan |
| 1 | Johnson Controls, Inc. | United States |
| 3 | KERI | Korea |
| 1 | KITE Solutions | Italy |
| 1 | KNICS R&D Center | Korea |
| 7 | Kobe Steel Ltd. | Japan |
| 1 | Kulicke @ Sofa Industries, Inc. | United States |
| 1 | Kumamoto Technology Inc. | Japan |
| 1 | Kushu Measurement & Control Co. | Japan |
| 1 | Kybertec, Ltd. | Czech Republic |
| 1 | LG Cable Ltd | Korea |
| 1 | LG Chemical | Korea |
| 2 | LG Industrial Systems | Korea |
| 1 | Los Alamos National Lab | United States |
| 2 | MAGNA STEYR | Austria |
| 1 | Magneti Marelli Powertrain | Italy |
| 1 | Memcor Australia Pty Ltd | Australia |
| 7 | Metso Automation | Finland |
| 1 | Microsoft Corp. | United States |
| 1 | Microsoft s.r.o. Prague | Czech Republic |
| 2 | Mitsubishi Electric Corporation | Japan |
| 1 | Mitsubishi Heavy Industries | Japan |

| No of co-authors from the company | Company | Country |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Nalco Finland Oy | Finland |
| 1 | NASA, Jet Propulsion Laboratory | United States |
| 1 | National Aerospace Laboratory NLR | Netherlands |
| 1 | NHK Science and Technical Research Laboratories | Japan |
| 4 | Nippon Steel Corp. | Japan |
| 5 | NIPPON STEEL CORPORATION | Japan |
| 1 | Nittetsu Elex Corporation | Japan |
| 2 | Novozymes A/S Bagsvaerd | Denmark |
| 1 | Omron | Portugal |
| 3 | OMRON Advanced Systems, Inc. | United States |
| 2 | OMRON corporation | Japan |
| 1 | Optimal Synthesis Inc, Palo Alto | United States |
| 1 | Outokumpu Stainless Oy | Finland |
| 1 | P&C Tech. | Korea |
| 1 | P&P Software | Switzerland |
| 1 | Papier Masson | Canada |
| 1 | Paprican | Canada |
| 2 | PARADES | Italy |
| 1 | Petrobras | Brazil |
| 2 | Philips Applied Technologies | Netherlands |
| 1 | Phoenix ISI | France |
| 5 | POSCO | Korea |
| 1 | POSCO Technology Laboratory | Korea |
| 1 | Priva B.V. | Netherlands |
| 4 | PROFACTOR Produktionsforschungs GmbH | Austria |
| 2 | Profactor Research | Austria |
| 2 | PROFIBUS International | Germany |
| 1 | ProTyS Inc. | Czech Republic |
| 2 | PSA Peugeot Citroën | France |
| 1 | Rautaruukki Oyj, Ruukki Production, Raahe | Finland |
| 1 | RIKEN | Japan |
| 2 | Robert Bosch GmbH | Germany |
| 1 | Rockwell Automation | Czech Republic |
| 5 | Rockwell Automation | United States |
| 1 | Samsung Adv. Inst. of Tech. | Korea |
| 1 | Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology | Bulgaria |
| 1 | Samsung Co. | Korea |
| 2 | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | Korea |
| 1 | Samsung Fine Chemicals Co. Ltd. | Korea |
| 1 | Satra, Ltd. | Czech Republic |
| 1 | SC Solutions | United States |
| 5 | Scania | Sweden |
| 1 | Scietific and Production Corporation IRKUT | Russian Federation |
| 1 | SDA Bocconi | Italy |

| No of co-authors from the company | Company | Country |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 1 | Schneider Electric | Germany |
| 11 | Siemens AG | Germany |
| 1 | Siemens Automobilové systémy s.r.o. | Czech Republic |
| 3 | Siemens Automotive | Canada |
| 1 | Siemens Canada Limited | Canada |
| 2 | SINTEF | Norway |
| 1 | Snecma Moteurs | France |
| 2 | ST Microelectronics | France |
| 1 | State Research & Production Rocket-Space Center | Russian Federation |
| 2 | STATOIL | Norway |
| 1 | STMicroelectronics Catania site | Italy |
| 1 | Stora Enso Oyj | Finland |
| 1 | Swedish Defence Research Agency | Sweden |
| 1 | Sym Consulting on Industrial Process Control | Brazil |
| 1 | Syncrude Canada Ltd. | Canada |
| 1 | System & Dynamik / Beratungsunternehmen | Germany |
| 1 | Systemexpert Ltd. | Hungary |
| 5 | Tata Consultancy Services | India |
| 1 | Tata Research Development & Design Centre | India |
| 1 | Telerobot srl | Italy |
| 1 | Telescope Technologies Limited | United Kingdom |
| 1 | TEPCO SYSTEMS CORPORATION | Japan |
| 2 | The Boeing Company | United States |
| 1 | TNO Automotive | Netherlands |
| 2 | TNO Science and Industry | Netherlands |
| 1 | Toronto Co | Canada |
| 1 | Toshiba Corporation | Japan |
| 3 | TOTAL | France |
| 1 | Toyota Motor Corporation | Japan |
| 1 | Třinecké železářny, a.s. | Czech Republic |
| 1 | Turkish Naval Forces, Turkish Naval Research Center Command | Turkey |
| 1 | United Energy | Czech Republic |
| 1 | US Air Force Research Laboratory | United States |
| 1 | VOEST ALPINE Industrieanlagenbau Linz | Austria |
| 4 | Volvo Aero Corporation | Sweden |
| 1 | Volvo Cars | Sweden |
| 2 | Volvo Technology Corporation | Sweden |
| 2 | VTT | Finland |
| 1 | Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC | United States |
| 1 | Xerox Corporation | United States |
| 1 | Yokogawa Electric Corporation | Japan |
| 1 | Z/I Imaging Corporation | United States |
| 1 | ZF Lenksysteme | Germany |

14 Appendix –Program Committee and sub-Committees for Praha 2005

IFAC President

Vladimír Kučera (CZ)

Congress General Chair

Michael Šebek (CZ)

International Program Committee

Petr Horáček (CZ), Co-Chairman

Miroslav Šimandl (CZ), Co-Chairman

Luis Basañez (ES), Vice-Chairman

Juan Antonio de la Puente (ES), Vice-Chairman

Dong-il Dan Cho (KR), Vice-Chairman

Pedro Albertos (ES)

Ruth Bars (HU)

Eward R. Carson (UK)

A. Talha Dinibütün (TK)

Denis Dochain (BE)

Wolfgang Halang (DE)

Rolf Isermann (DE)

Alberto Isidori (IT)

Sirkka-Liisa Jämsä-Jounela (FI)

Tohru Katayama (JP)

Uwe Kiencke (DE)

Vladimír Kučera (CZ)

Wook Hyun Kwon (KR)

Michael Masten (US)

Shimon Y. Nof (US)

Anibal Ollero (ES)

Roman Prokop (CZ)

Bohumil Šulc (CZ)

Michael Valášek (CZ)

Tomáš Vlček (CZ)

František Zezulka (CZ)

Pavel Zítek (CZ) - Editor

International Program sub-Committees

| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| 1.1. Modelling, Identification & Signal Processing | T. McKelvey (SE) |
| 1.2. Adaptive and Learning Systems | A. Sano (JP) |
| 1.3. Discrete Event Dynamic Systems | C. Cassandras (US) |
| 1.4. Stochastic Systems | M. Campi (IT) |
| 2.1. Control Design | P. Colaneri (IT) |
| 2.2. Linear Control Systems | C.E. de Souza (BR) |
| 2.3. Non-Linear Control Systems | F. Allgöwer (DE) |
| 2.4. Optimal Control | A. Kleimenov (RU) |
| 2.5. Robust Control | C. Scherer (DE) |
| 3.1. Computers for Control | R. Sanz (ES) |
| 3.2. Cognition and Control | R. Babuska (NL) |
| 3.3. Computers and Telematics | H. Roth (DE) |
| 4.1. Components and Instruments | S. Boverie (FR) |
| 4.2. Mechatronic Systems | R. Goodall (UK) |
| 4.3. Robotics | J. Sasiadek (CA) |
| 4.4. Cost Oriented Automation | H. Erbe (DE) |
| 4.5. Human Machine Systems | D. Zuehlke (DE) |
| 5.1. Manufacturing Plant Control | G. Morel (FR) |
| 5.2. Manufacturing Modelling for Management and Control | L. Monostori (HU) |
| 5.3. Enterprise Integration and Networking | A. Molina (MX) |
| 5.4. Large Scale Complex Systems | F.G. Filip (RO) |
| 6.1. Chemical Process Control | W. Marquardt (DE) |
| 6.2. Mining, Mineral & Metal Processing | S.C. Won (KR) |
| 6.3. Power Plants and Power Systems | O.P. Malik (CA) |
| 6.4. Safeprocess | M. Kinnaert (BE) |
| 7.1. Automotive Control | L. Nielsen (SE) |
| 7.2. Marine Systems | R. Sutton (UK) |
| 7.3. Aerospace | K. Schilling (DE) |
| 7.4. Transportation Systems | M. Papageorgiou (GR) |
| 7.5. Intelligent Autonomous Vehicles | H. Asama (JP) |
| 8.1. Control in Agriculture | G. van Straten (NL) |
| 8.2. Modelling & Control of Biomedical Systems | D. Feng (AU) |
| 8.3. Modelling & Control of Environmental Systems | R. Soncini-Sessa (IT) |
| 8.4. Control of Biotechnological Processes | M. Pons (FR) |
| 9.1. Economic & Business Systems | R. Neck (AT) |
| 9.2. Social Impact of Automation | J. Stahre (SE) |
| 9.3. Developing Countries | G. Dimirovski (MK) |
| 9.4. Control Education | L. Vlacic (AU) |
| 9.5. SWIIS | F. Kile (US) |